



Eliciting Accurate Evidence of Student Learning

Educator designs sound assessments that generate accurate evidence of student learning. The educator's rubrics meet quality criteria that provide accurate feedback regarding student learning.

Key Method

The educator audits, refines, and reflects upon a formal formative assessment for purpose, target-method match, sample size, and bias as well as distortion. The educator also audits, refines, and reflects upon a rubric to provide accurate feedback regarding student learning.

Method Components

The Importance of High-Quality Assessments

When educators do not create or use assessments of sound design, evidence of student learning is not accurate. When educators lack evidence of student learning or have inaccurate evidence, they can't make appropriate instructional decisions that help students move forward in their learning.

Educators can create classroom assessments that elicit accurate evidence of student learning by knowing assessment methods, matching method to target type, choosing an effective sample size, and minimizing bias as well as distortion in formative assessments.

(see "High Quality Classroom Assessment: What Does It Really Mean?" in Resources)



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

Components of Assessment Design

Educators with sound assessment design knowledge can:

- Identify assessment purpose (see “Five Keys to High-Quality Classroom Assessment” in Resources)
- Identify assessment methods: selected response, written response, personal communication, portfolio, performance task (see “Possible Assessment Methods and Match to Targets” in Resources)
- Identify learning target types: knowledge, reasoning, skill, product (see “Possible Assessment Methods and Match to Targets” in Resources)
- Identify potential sources of assessment bias and distortion that can occur (see “Designing and Evaluating Quality Assessments” and video of James Popham explaining forms of assessment bias in Resources)
 - within the student: language, health/physical handicap, emotional considerations
 - the assessment context: insufficient time, noise distraction, lighting, lack of rapport
 - from the assessment itself: lacking or vague directions, poorly worded questions
- Identify effective sample size: how much evidence of student learning is enough to make an accurate determination of proficiency (see “Principles for Effective Classroom Assessment” in Resources)

Educators with sound assessment design abilities can:

- Audit and refine an assessment for purpose, target-method match, sampling, bias as well as distortion

In order for educators to provide accurate feedback regarding student learning, educators must also know how to create quality rubrics.

Components of Quality Rubric Criteria

Educators with quality rubric criteria knowledge can identify rubric quality criteria (see “Creating and Recognizing Quality Rubrics” in Resources)

- Alignment to the learning target(s)
- Focus on assessed elements
- Organized and independent criteria
- Accurate descriptors of learning levels

Educators with quality rubric criteria abilities can:

- Audit and refine a rubric that provides accurate feedback regarding evidence of student learning



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

Supporting Rationale and Research

Chappuis, Jan. "Helping Students Understand Assessment." Educational Leadership, vol. 63, no. 3, Nov. 2005, pp. 39–43.,
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1bAj00ZceUInJABOWURfgXPivEK45OgRq/view?usp=sharing>

Farrell, C.* & Marsh, J. (2016). Metrics matter: How properties of data shape teachers' instructional responses. Educational Administration Quarterly, 52(3), 423-462.
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1PCB9XUm1hOdTJRP8sPm3zoJIAXFKya0f/view>

Shepard, L. A. (2008). The Role of Assessment in a Learning Culture. Journal Of Education, 189(1/2), 95-106.
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/10ClqQXTVrq3nFpKZrilSgZTZZPMc1TRt/view?usp=sharing>

Supovitz, J. (2012). Getting at student understanding—the key to teachers' use of test data. Teachers College Record, 114(11)
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1TI4chW0-LRxMqe_D5Noo-HM0pEcOi2dK/view

Resources

What is High-Quality Assessment?
[What Does High Quality Assessment Look Like?](#)

Classroom Assessment Purpose
[Five Keys to High-Quality Classroom Assessment](#)

Target-Method Match

[Target Assessment Method Match](#)

[Matching the Assessment Methods to the Learning Target](#)

[Teaching Excellence & Educational Innovation](#)

[Assessments that Align with Learning Objectives](#)



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack
Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

Bias and Distortion

[Criteria for high-quality assessment.](#)

[Forms of assessment bias](#)

Sample Size

[7 Principles of Student Centered Classroom Assessment](#)

Rubrics

[Creating & Recognizing Quality Rubrics](#)

Formative Assessment

[Oregon Formative Assessments for Students and Teachers](#)

Submission Guidelines & Evaluation Criteria

To earn the micro-credential, you must receive a passing score in Parts 1 and 3 and receive a proficient for all components in Part 2.

Part 1. Overview Questions (Provides Context)

(200 - 500 words per prompt)

Please answer the following contextual questions to provide understanding of your current situation. Please do not include any information that will make you identifiable to your reviewers.

Prompt 1: Describe the students you engage with daily. Include grade level, subject area, cultural backgrounds, and special considerations regarding student characteristics (English Language Learners, Special Education, Gifted Learners, etc...). With an example of a formal formative assessment that you created and currently use in your classroom in mind, answer the following questions:

- What is the role of this assessment in the scope of student learning? Who will use the information and how?
- How was the formal formative assessment created?



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

- How will you know if the assessment elicits accurate evidence of student learning?

Passing: Educator completely answers each question and includes relevant examples from personal experience. Writing is organized and easy to understand.

Prompt 2: With an example of the accompanying rubric for the formal formative assessment in mind, answer the following questions:

- How was this rubric created? If there is not an accompanying rubric, explain your rationale or methodology for providing feedback to students on this formal formative assessment.
- How is the rubric currently used to provide accurate feedback regarding student learning? If a rubric is not currently used, explain how you anticipate you will use a rubric to provide feedback.
- How will you know if the rubric is an effective tool for providing accurate evidence of student learning?

Passing: Educator completely answers each question and includes relevant examples from personal experience. Writing is organized and easy to understand.

Part 2. Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence

To earn this micro-credential, utilize the suggested resources to complete and submit the following **five artifacts** as evidence of your learning. *Please do not include any information that will make you or your students identifiable to your reviewers.*

Artifact 1: Formal Formative Assessment

Submit an example of formal formative assessment that you have created. Using your example of formal formative assessment, submit a document that identifies the components of sound assessment design below. If component(s) are lacking, identify what's missing.

- assessment purpose (see “Five Keys to High Quality Classroom Assessment” in Resources)
- target(s) being assessed
- target type(s) (see “Possible Assessment Methods and Match to Targets” in Resources)
- assessment method(s) (see “Possible Assessment Methods and Match to Targets” in Resources)
- potential sources of bias or distortion (see “Designing and Evaluating Quality Assessments” and James Popham video in Resources)
- sample size (see “Principles for Effective Classroom Assessment” in Resources)



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

- Accommodations and modifications for special populations of students (English Language Learners, Special Education, and Talented and Gifted, etc.)

Artifact 2: Rubric

Submit the rubric that accompanies the formal formative assessment. Using the rubric that accompanies your formal formative assessment, submit a document that identifies the rubric quality criteria components listed below. If there is not currently a rubric for the target(s), please write one and then identify the parts below. If component(s) are lacking, identify what's missing. (See "Creating and Recognizing Quality Rubrics in Resources")

- learning target(s)
- focus on assessed elements
- rubric component organization has independent criteria
- accurate descriptors of learning levels

Artifact 3: Audit of Formative Assessment Design

Submit a document that audits the formal formative assessment for sound design by answering the following questions: In what ways is your assessment already of sound design (meets or exceeds what's listed below)? What refinements need to be made in order to improve for sound design? Refer back to Resources as needed.

- strong alignment to assessment purpose
- clear target-method match
- strong alignment to what you expect the students to learn
- effective sample size
- minimal, if any, bias or distortion

Artifact 4: Audit of Rubric

Submit a document that audits the rubric for quality criteria by answering the following questions: In what ways is your rubric already of quality (meets or exceeds what's listed below)? What refinements need to be made in order to improve quality? Refer back to Resources as needed.

- strong alignment to the learning target(s)
- clear focus on assessed elements
- clear organization including independent criteria
- clear descriptors of learning levels

Artifact 5: Revised Assessment and Rubric



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

Use the results of your audit to revise your formal formative assessment and accompanying rubric. Submit the revised assessment and rubric with the following annotations below. Refer back to Resources as needed.

- Highlight the changes that you made to the assessment. Explain why the changes will improve the assessment for eliciting accurate evidence of student learning. You may use comments or text boxes or add a table at the end of the document.
- Highlight the changes that you made to the rubric. Explain why the changes will improve the rubric for providing accurate student learning feedback. You may use comments or text boxes or add a table at the end of the document.

Part 2. Rubric

	Proficient	Basic	Developing
Artifact 1: Formal Formative Assessment	<p>Educator accurately and completely identifies the components of sound assessment design as described in “Part 2 Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence,” Artifact 1.</p> <p>All components are noted and described OR where an element is missing, an explanation is given.</p>	<p>Educator identifies some of the components of assessment sound design but does not note any missing components.</p>	<p>Educator does not identify the components of assessment sound design.</p>
Artifact 2: Rubric	<p>Rubric matches the formative assessment submitted in Artifact 1.</p> <p>Rubric document identifies the quality criteria components listed in “Part 2 Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence,” Artifact 2.</p>	<p>The rubric does not match the formative assessment submitted in Artifact 1.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The rubric document identifies some but not all of the quality criteria components listed in “Part 2 Work</p>	<p>Educator does not identify the components of the rubric.</p>



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

	If there are components missing, the educator identifies and gives an explanation for why the particular component is missing.	Examples/Artifacts/Evidence," Artifact 2. OR If components are missing, there is no identification of such.	
Artifacts 3: Audit of Formative Assessment Design	Educator uses results of audit to fully explain ways that the assessment is of sound design and quality All prompts in "Part 2 Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence," Artifact 3 are addressed.	Educator identifies ways that the assessment is of sound design and quality but does not address all prompts in "Part 2 Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence," Artifact 3.	Educator does not identify ways that the assessment is of sound design and quality
Artifact 4: Audit of Rubric	Educator uses results of audit to fully explain ways that the rubric is of sound design and quality All prompts in "Part 2 Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence," Artifact 4 are addressed.	Educator identifies ways that the assessment is of sound design and quality but does not address all prompts in "Part 2 Work Examples/Artifacts/Evidence," Artifact 4.	Educator does not identify ways that the rubric is of sound design and quality
Artifact Five: Revised Assessment and Rubric	Educator annotates changes to the assessment and rubric Educator also explains how the changes will improve the assessment and rubric for eliciting accurate evidence of student learning	Educator annotates changes and explains how the changes will improve the assessment and rubric	Educator annotates changes but lacks an explanation of the changes.



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022

Part 3 Reflection

(300 - 500 words)

Use the word count as a guide to write a personal reflection about your work on this micro-credential. For tips on writing a good reflection review the following resource:

[How Do I Write a Good Personal Reflection?](#)

Please reflect by answering the questions below.

1. How did your overall assessment and rubric practices change as a result of the exercises in this module?
2. How will these changes lead to eliciting accurate evidence of student learning as well as provide students with accurate feedback on future assessments?
3. How did the accommodations and modifications help English Language Learners, Talented and Gifted, and Students with Disabilities?

Passing: Reflection provides evidence of how the module exercises affected assessment and rubric practices. Specific examples are cited directly from identification, auditing, and annotating activities to support claims. Also included are specific actionable steps that demonstrate how new learning will be integrated into future practices.



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Assessment Literacy Stack

Last Revised on Mar 16, 2022